



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/671,703

09/26/2003

Loren Dean

MWS-035RCE2

9252

74321

7590

07/02/2009

LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP/THE MATHWORKS  
FLOOR 30, SUITE 3000  
One Post Office Square  
Boston, MA 02109-2127

EXAMINER

VERDI, KIMBLEANN C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2194

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/02/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                          |                                      |                                    |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/671,703 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>DEAN ET AL. |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>KimbleAnn Verdi   | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2194            |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KimbleAnn Verdi. (3) Lucy Lubashev.

(2) Neslihan Doran. (4) Kevin Canning.

Date of Interview: 25 June 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 19-21 and 23-44.

Identification of prior art discussed: Breyer et al. (U.S. Patent 6,256,25 B1), Williams et al. (U.S. Patent 5,911,066), and Biondi et al. (U.S. Patent 6,894,802 B1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant provided overview of claimed subject matter. Applicant's arguments appear to be persuasive and appear to overcome the prior art of record. Examiner noted further search and consideration of the application is required.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.